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WHAT IS A MENTAL MODEL

Mental model = Understanding of operation

Not understanding how these products work can have disastrous consequences
OVERALL PROJECT GOALS

- With regard to ADAS/ADS technologies:
  - What are the nature of, and variants of drivers’ mental models?
  - How are drivers’ mental models formed?
  - How do drivers’ mental models change over time?
  - What are the sources of change?
    - Specific events that occur while driving
    - Exposure to other sources of information (vehicle manual, service people, friends, Internet, ads, etc.)

ADAS = Advanced Driver-Assistance Systems; ADS = Automated Driving Systems
ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES

- Taxonomy of drivers’ mental models
  - Indicates the most important dimensions or aspects of users’ MM that can be used to characterize and classify them.
  - The taxonomy may help to explain differences between drivers’ behavior and changes in their behavior over time.

- Description of the information and experiences that influence formation of drivers’ mental models.

- Description of the information and experiences that influence changes in drivers’ mental models over time.

- Links between drivers’ mental models and specific behaviors
OUR END GOAL

“Understanding” is not our end goal — Safety/Efficiency is #1 goal
APPLICATIONS

Mental models can be influenced through the HMI/technology

Mental models can be influenced through education/training
TWO PROJECTS

A BEHAVIORAL EVALUATION OF MENTAL MODEL DEVELOPMENT

A NEUROERGONOMIC EVALUATION OF MENTAL MODEL DEVELOPMENT
A BEHAVIORAL EVALUATION OF MENTAL MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The Rockville Institute

September 2017 – Current
CURRENT STATUS

SEP 2017

Subjective/qualitative research
Objective/behavioral research

Focus Groups
- Discussions with new vehicle owners regarding their experiences with ADAS/ADS technologies

Longitudinal Interviews
- 44 new vehicle purchases
- Initial and final in-person interviews.
- Regular interviews will be held via phone over the next 6 months.

On-road Naturalistic Observation
- 20 new vehicle owners will be recruited.
- Must have purchased their vehicle in the last month.
- Target vehicles include those with TSS/LSS:
FOCUS GROUPS

Methods

- Three 7-10 person focus groups.
- Participants grouped on “tech savviness”
  - Group 1: Mixed levels
  - Group 2: High level
  - Group 3: Low level
- New vehicle purchase in the last year with at least 2 advanced safety systems (no requirement on brand).
- Sample discussion topics include:
  - Understanding of systems and underlying technology (influential factors, level of detail, accuracy)
  - Confidence in systems
  - Emotion towards systems
  - System use patterns
LONGITUDINAL INTERVIEWS

Methods

- 44 new vehicle owners that:
  - Purchased in the last month
  - No brand restriction
  - Must have at least one lateral and one longitudinal vehicle assistance system.

- Subjects will be interviewed
  - At the beginning of a 6-month data collection window.
  - Every 2-4 weeks following
LONGITUDINAL INTERVIEWS

Methods

Initial Inventory

Technology Acceptance Scale & Brief Sensation Seeking Scale

My judgments of my driver assist features are... (please tick a box on every line)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Useful</th>
<th>Pleasurable</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Nice</th>
<th>Unpleasant</th>
<th>Annoying</th>
<th>Superfluous</th>
<th>Visible</th>
<th>Worrisome</th>
<th>Desirable</th>
<th>Repulsive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How much do each of these statements describe you? For each statement, please indicate your level of disagreement or agreement by placing a check mark in the most appropriate column.

Statement | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither | Disagree | Strongly Disagree
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
1. I would like to experience extra phases. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
2. I get restless when I spend too much time at home. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
3. I like to do frightening things. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
4. I like wild parties. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
5. I would like to take off on a trip with no planned destinations or destinations. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
6. I prefer friends who are absolutely unpredictable. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
7. I would like to try bungee jumping. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
8. I would love to have new and exciting experiences, even if they are illegal. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

Driver Behavior Questionnaire

No one is perfect. Even the best drivers make errors or commit violations at some time or another. History of these errors is trivial, but others are potentially more dangerous. The questionnaire is very simple. It is a number of errors and violations that people have experienced or observed while driving. For each item, you are required to indicate how often, if at all, this kind of thing has happened to you, over a period of the last year.

Interview Guide for Phase 2

Initial intake interview (in vehicle)

[administer questionnaires about user characteristics]

- How long did you have your previous car?
- We are interested in getting your perspective on various aspects of your new car. To start with, how long have you had the car?
- About how many miles have you driven? [Check odometer at some point to get verification]
- Are you the only driver of this vehicle? [If not] Who else drives it? What percent of the driving of this car is done by you?
- What were some of the features that were important to you when you decided on this car? In other words, what were some things you knew you wanted before you bought the car?
- I would like you to give me a tour of this car. Let’s get inside and start the engine. Wish me through the features it has and how you use them. I will be videotaping you as you do this so that we have a record of what you are referring to. [Researcher is in rear seat, shooting video over the subject’s shoulder]
  - [When they finish, if they have skipped over safety features of interest, do not ask about them specifically. Failures to mention at this point is actually data. Encourage them to continue in more detail, without pointing to specific features]
- Just to be sure you got everything, let’s go through the car from left to right, focusing on features near the driver’s seat.
  - [Step out of vehicle and sit at desk or other location to complete interview]

Now let’s focus on some safety features of your car. I would like us to focus particularly on the kind of safety features we call “driver assist” features. These are the kinds of features that help the driver by giving warnings, or other information, or maybe automatically do steering or braking. So when we talk about your car’s driver assist or safety features, I want to talk about things that interact with you when you are driving, not things like airbags or electronic stability control or crash resistant frames. I want you to tell me about the features that provide driver assistance to help you drive safely.
  - First off, do you have any of these driver assist features on your new car?
  - Did you have any of those on your previous vehicles?
  - Let’s try to get a big picture look at what your car does and how it all works. Let’s say you were describing how your car’s driver assist package worked to someone who had never heard of it, a 12-year-old, just to keep it simple. In a few sentences, how would you tell them about it? What is your idea and
Count of Participants by Vehicle Make

- Hyundai
- Nissan
- Infiniti
- Ford
- BMW
- Chevy
- Lexus
- GMC
- Mercedes
- Kia
- Mazda
- Volkswagen
- Volvo
- Tesla
- Subaru
- Honda
- Toyota

Miles Driven in Study: Phase 2
Sample learnings of what fosters mental model development and related subjective experiences

Memorable events are a big driver of mental model formation

Drivers often perform trial and error to help develop mental models

Emotions developed during system use can impact mental model development

Subjective evaluations of technology (trust, usefulness) impact mental models
Samples of how users describe the technology and dimension that influence their description

**Complexity:**
The level of detail retained in one’s understanding.

**Holism:**
The level of integration of ADAS/ADS technologies in the vehicle.

**Anthropomorphism:**
The amount of human characteristics used in one’s understanding.
5 WAYS OF THINKING ABOUT ADAS/ADS

- High MM complexity (initial & final)
- Highest self-report of high accuracy of technology (initial & final)
- Highest self-report of high functional accuracy (initial & final)
- Highest self-reported “love” of technology (initial & final)
- Lowest self-reported appreciation (initial & final)
- One the highest responses of very positive (initial & final)
- Highest self-reported as “mostly split” (initial & final)
- Highest self-reported “totally split” (final)
- High anthropomorphism (initial & final)
- Highest self-reported mechanical/computational skills (initial & final)

- Medium MM complexity
- Highest self-report of low accuracy of technology (final)
- Highest self-report as viewing systems as “totally lumped” (final)
- Lowest self-reported “mostly lumped” (final)
- Highest self-report of no mechanical skills (initial & final)
- One of the highest responses of no machine learning (initial & final)
- Highest satisfaction score (initial & final)

- Vehicle is a servant
- Low MM complexity
- Highest response to medium accuracy of technology
- Lowest self-report of high accuracy of technology
- Highest self-report as somewhat positive and somewhat negative (i.e., polarizing; final)
- Highest self-report of “no anthropomorphism”
- Lowest self-reported mechanical computational skills (initial)
- Highest self-report of “useful” (initial & final)
- Lowest satisfaction score (final)
ON-ROAD DRIVER BEHAVIOR STUDY

Methods

- 16 vehicle owners:
  - 11 purchased in the last month; 5 with no restriction.
  - Target vehicles that have Toyota Safety Sense or Lexus Safety System+
- Subjective interview approach similar to longitudinal interview study
ON-ROAD DRIVER BEHAVIOR STUDY: METHODS
ON-ROAD DRIVER BEHAVIOR STUDY: METHODS
DATA REDUCTION AND ANALYSIS
DRIVING REQUIRES COMMUNICATION

Movement communicates & explicit communication is limited
DRIVING REQUIRES COMMUNICATION

- Interactions create safety margins, efficiency, & politeness
- Stopping short could be interpreted as “courteous”
ASSESSING DRIVING BEHAVIOR IN COMPLEX SCENARIOS
ASSESSING DRIVING BEHAVIOR IN COMPLEX SCENARIOS

Model 3

\[
\log \frac{P(Y_{ij} = \text{Distracted})}{P(Y_{ij} = \text{Not distracted})} = (\beta_0 + \gamma_{0j}) + \beta^T X_{ij}
\]

\(X_{ij}\) is a vector of explanatory variables including, e.g.,
- Pre-distraction status
- Pedestrian ahead
- Signal status
- Weather condition
- Traffic influence

Model 4

\[SDS_{ij} = (\beta_0 + \gamma_{0j}) + \beta^T X_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}\]

\(X_{ij}\) is a vector of explanatory variables including:
- Change in distraction
- Pedestrian ahead
- Signal status
- Weather condition
- Traffic influence

Summary Results of Model 3 (Universal Distraction Model) and Model 4 (Universal Speed Change Model) for All Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>On-distraction (all scenarios)</th>
<th>Change in speed (all scenarios)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exp(beta)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-distraction (base: no) – yes</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands on wheel (base: no hands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can’t tell</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hand</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two hands</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed limit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction (base: straight)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road surface (base: dry)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icy</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult passengers (base: no) – yes</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child passengers (base: no) – yes</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic influence (base: no) – yes</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in distraction complexity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scene complexity (base: simple)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple-medium</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-complex</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A NEUROERGONOMOMIC EVALUATION OF MENTAL MODEL DEVELOPMENT

George Mason University
September 2017 – Current
Subjective/qualitative research
- Determining information used to develop mental models
- Examining factors (including user experience and temporal changes) leading to changes in mental models.

Objective/neuroergonomic research
- Neuroergonomic research provides insight into cognition not gleaned through behavioral or subjective measures

Phase 1 Sep 2017 – Dec 2018
- ADAS Info Survey
- Verbal Protocol Study
- Diary Study

Phase 2 Oct 2018 – Current
- Sample research issues:
  - The effect of pre-existing mental models.
  - Acceptance, performance and mental model change following interaction with the system.
  - Efficacy of various education approaches
  - Individual differences
# SURVEY METHODS

- 450 respondents
- Subjects paid $ to complete an online questionnaire

If you learn about Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADASs) from a car dealership...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How reliable is this source?</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Slightly</th>
<th>Moderately</th>
<th>Fairly</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Not at all" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Slightly" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Moderately" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Fairly" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Extremely" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How trustworthy is this source?</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Slightly</th>
<th>Moderately</th>
<th>Fairly</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Not at all" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Slightly" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Moderately" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Fairly" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Extremely" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How likely is this source to influence your overall opinion on ADASs</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Slightly</th>
<th>Moderately</th>
<th>Fairly</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Not at all" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Slightly" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Moderately" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Fairly" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Extremely" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INITIAL FINDINGS

WHAT ARE YOU MOST LIKELY TO USE TO LEARN ABOUT ADAS/ADS TECHNOLOGIES?
INITIAL FINDINGS

MORE LIKELY TO RELY ON...
Reflects workload that can be moderated by mental model

Right hemisphere dominant = positive affect due to better mental model
Varying combinations of ADAS/ADS technologies manifest as significant differences in cognitive workload.
Workload associated with ADAS/ADS interaction changes over time
- Increased attention could indicate an increased understanding of system operation (i.e., technology capabilities and limitations).

- Trial and error leads to a greater understanding of when/where to allocate attention.

- Design system to avoid overtrust.

- Learnability

- Optimal calibration

- INITIAL MM

- MM EVOLUTION

- "FINAL" MM
Dr. John Lenneman is a Senior Principal Engineer and Human-Technology Integration Research Director for the Collaborative Safety Research Center (CSRC) at Toyota Motor North America Research & Development Center (TMNA R&D) based in Ann Arbor, Michigan. In his current position, Dr. Lenneman is responsible for portfolio strategy and the execution of R&D projects.

INTERESTED IN COLLABORATING?

E-mail: john.lenneman@toyota.com
Cell: 734-730-6316

Note: If you are interested in learning more, feel free to contact John. Alternatively, you can use Google Scholar and search by the following terms to find out more: John Lenneman, James Jenness, Carryl Baldwin, George Mason University, mental models, Josh Domeyer, Linda Boyle, or simply use one of the terms found in the presentation.